IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1184 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1080 OF 2005

Mohameed Zuber Abdul Jabbar Kureshi ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Shri A.M. Sarogi for the Applicant.

Shri D.P. Adsule, A.P.P for the Respondent.

CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.

DATE : NOVEMBER 27, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the Advocate for the Applicant. The Applicant is accused No.1. The accused No.1 has been convicted for offences under section 392 and 397 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. The maximum substantive sentence is of seven years. The Applicant has already undergone sentence for a period of two years and two months.

2. I have perused the notes of evidence and the impugned judgment and order. The allegation against the Applicant and co-accused is of snatching a sum of Rs.270/- and a wrist watch from an auto-rickshaw driver

by showing a razor. Considering the nature of evidence against the Applicant and the facts and circumstances of the case and in particular the fact that the Applicant has already undergone sentence for a period of two years and two months, a case is made out for enlarging the Applicant on bail.

3. Hence, I pass the following order:

(i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on bail in the sum of Rs.15,000/- with one or two local sureties in the like amount.

(ii) Bail is granted subject to condition that the Applicant will report to the Juhu Police Station on every first and third Saturday of every calendar month till the disposal of the Appeal.

JUDGE